



# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Appendices .....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of Acronyms .....</b>	<b>Acronyms-1</b>
<b>Executive Summary.....</b>	<b>1</b>
ES.1    INTRODUCTION .....	1
ES.2    OTHER MAJOR ACTIONS IN THE VICINITY OF THE US 281 CORRIDOR PROJECT .....	3
ES.3    NEED FOR AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION .....	5
ES.4    ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	6
<i>ES.4.1 No-Build Alternative .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>ES.4.2 Proposed Build Alternatives .....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>ES.4.3 Funding Options for the Proposed Build Alternatives .....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>ES.4.4 Mobility Elements Common to the Proposed Build Alternatives .....</i>	<i>13</i>
ES.5    MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS .....	14
<i>ES.5.1 Air Quality .....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>ES.5.2 Noise.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>ES.5.3 Water Resources .....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>ES.5.4 Threatened and Endangered Species .....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>ES.5.5 Indirect Effects.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>ES.5.6 Consideration of Mitigation for Indirect Effects .....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>ES.5.7 Cumulative Effects.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>ES.5.8 Consideration of Mitigation for Cumulative Effects .....</i>	<i>29</i>
ES.6    PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION .....	31
<i>ES.6.1 Notice of Intent .....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>ES.6.2 Public Meetings .....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>ES.6.3 Community Advisory Committee .....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>ES.6.4 Community Briefings .....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>ES.6.5 Elected and Appointed Official Briefings .....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>ES.6.6 US 281 Corridor Project Newsletters .....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>ES.6.7 US 281 Corridor Project Website .....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>ES.6.8 Social Media .....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>ES.6.9 Agencies Roles and Responsibilities .....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>ES.6.10 Agency Scoping Meetings .....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>ES.6.11 Peer Technical Review Committee .....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>ES.6.12 Scoping Concurrence .....</i>	<i>35</i>
ES.7    AREAS OF CONTROVERSY AND SIGNIFICANT UNRESOLVED ISSUES.....	36
ES.8    ADDITIONAL ACTIONS .....	37
<b>Chapter 1 Need for and Purpose of Proposed Action.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.2 PROJECT HISTORY .....	1-4
<i>1.2.1 US 281 Super Street .....</i>	<i>1-4</i>
<i>1.2.2 US 281/Loop 1604 Interchange .....</i>	<i>1-5</i>
1.3 NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION .....	1-6
<i>1.3.1 Growth .....</i>	<i>1-7</i>
<i>1.3.2 Functionality .....</i>	<i>1-11</i>
<i>1.3.3 Safety .....</i>	<i>1-13</i>
<i>1.3.4 Community Quality of Life .....</i>	<i>1-14</i>



1.3.5 Summary of Needs for the US 281 Corridor Project .....	1-17
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	1-18
1.4.1 Project Goals and Objectives .....	1-18
1.5 DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.....	1-19
1.5.1 The EIS Process.....	1-21
1.5.2 Role of the Draft EIS .....	1-22
<b>Chapter 2 Alternatives Considered .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.1.1 Description of Existing Facility .....	2-1
2.2 DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES .....	2-5
2.2.1 Community and Public Agency Involvement.....	2-5
2.2.2 Preliminary Range of Alternatives .....	2-5
2.3 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION .....	2-8
2.3.1 Alternatives Evaluation Process .....	2-8
2.3.2 Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results.....	2-9
2.3.3 Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results.....	2-11
2.3.4 Level 3 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results.....	2-16
2.3.5 Refinements to Proposed Build Alternatives.....	2-20
2.4 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN THE DRAFT EIS.....	2-22
2.4.1 No-Build Alternative.....	2-22
2.4.2 Proposed Build Alternatives .....	2-23
2.4.3 Project Cost Estimates .....	2-39
<b>Chapter 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2 LAND USE .....	3-2
3.2.1 Methodology.....	3-2
3.2.2 Affected Environment and Future Projections/Plans .....	3-2
3.2.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-12
3.2.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-13
3.3 FARMLANDS .....	3-13
3.3.1 Methodology.....	3-14
3.3.2 Affected Environment .....	3-14
3.3.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-15
3.3.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-15
3.4 SOCIOECONOMICS .....	3-15
3.4.1 Methodology.....	3-16
3.4.2 Affected Environment .....	3-16
3.4.3 Environmental Justice .....	3-22
3.4.4 Environmental Consequences .....	3-62
3.5 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES.....	3-87
3.5.1 Methodology.....	3-87
3.5.2 Affected Environment .....	3-87
3.5.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-90
3.5.4 Conclusion .....	3-90
3.6 TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES.....	3-90
3.6.1 Methodology.....	3-91
3.6.2 Affected Environment .....	3-91
3.6.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-92
3.6.4 Conclusion .....	3-96
3.7 AIR QUALITY .....	3-97
3.7.1 Methodology.....	3-97
3.7.2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-98



3.7.3 Traffic Air Quality Assessment (TAQA).....	3-100
3.7.4 Mobile Source Air Toxics .....	3-103
3.7.5 Conclusion .....	3-108
3.7.6 Mitigation .....	3-109
3.8 TRAFFIC NOISE.....	3-109
3.8.1 Methodology.....	3-109
3.8.2 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....	3-111
3.8.3 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-128
3.9 WATER QUALITY .....	3-129
3.9.1 Surface Water .....	3-129
3.9.2 Groundwater.....	3-134
3.10 FLOODPLAINS .....	3-156
3.10.1 Methodology.....	3-156
3.10.2 Affected Environment .....	3-156
3.10.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-157
3.10.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-158
3.11 WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS.....	3-158
3.12 COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT AND COASTAL BARRIERS .....	3-158
3.13 WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE US .....	3-159
3.13.1 Methodology.....	3-159
3.13.2 Affected Environment .....	3-159
3.13.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-160
3.13.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-162
3.14 VEGETATION .....	3-163
3.14.1 Methodology.....	3-163
3.14.2 Affected Environment .....	3-164
3.14.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-167
3.14.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-168
3.15 WILDLIFE .....	3-170
3.15.1 Methodology.....	3-170
3.15.2 Affected Environment .....	3-170
3.15.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-172
3.15.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-174
3.16 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES .....	3-174
3.16.1 Methodology.....	3-175
3.16.2 Affected Environment .....	3-178
3.16.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-192
3.16.4 Conclusion .....	3-197
3.16.5 Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-203
3.17 CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	3-204
3.17.1 Historic Resources (Non-Archeological).....	3-205
3.17.2 Archeological Resources .....	3-207
3.18 SECTION 4(F) & SECTION 6(F) PROPERTIES .....	3-215
3.18.1 Methodology.....	3-216
3.18.2 Affected Environment .....	3-216
3.18.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-216
3.18.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-217
3.19 HAZARDOUS/REGULATED MATERIALS .....	3-217
3.19.1 Methodology.....	3-217
3.19.2 Affected Environment .....	3-219
3.19.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-225
3.19.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-226
3.20 VISUAL AND AESTHETIC QUALITIES.....	3-227



3.20.1 Methodology.....	3-227
3.20.2 Affected Environment .....	3-228
3.20.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-229
3.20.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-235
3.21 ENERGY REQUIREMENTS .....	3-236
3.21.1 Methodology.....	3-236
3.21.2 Affected Environment .....	3-236
3.21.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-236
3.21.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-237
3.22 RELATIONSHIP OF LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES VERSUS LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY .....	3-237
3.23 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	3-238
3.24 CONSTRUCTION .....	3-239
3.25 SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES SUMMARY .....	3-240
<b>Chapter 4 Indirect Effects .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 STEP 1: SCOPING .....	4-3
4.1.1 Determining the Appropriate Methods and Level of Effort .....	4-3
4.1.2 Study Area Boundaries: Area of Influence (AOI) .....	4-3
4.1.3 Timeframe for the Indirect Effects Analysis .....	4-9
4.2 STEP 2: IDENTIFY THE STUDY AREA'S GOALS AND TRENDS .....	4-9
4.2.1 Goals .....	4-9
4.2.2 Trends within the AOI .....	4-21
4.3 STEP 3: INVENTORY THE STUDY AREA'S NOTABLE FEATURES.....	4-27
4.3.1 Land Resources and Features.....	4-28
4.3.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources .....	4-29
4.3.3 Air Quality .....	4-32
4.3.4 Water Resources and Features .....	4-32
4.3.5 Ecological Resources and Features .....	4-38
4.3.6 Archeological Resources .....	4-43
4.3.7 Historic Resources .....	4-44
4.4 STEP 4: IDENTIFY IMPACT-CAUSING ACTIVITIES OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES ..	4-45
4.5 STEP 5: IDENTIFY POTENTIALLY SUBSTANTIAL INDIRECT EFFECTS FOR ANALYSIS .....	4-46
4.5.1 Encroachment-Alteration Effects .....	4-46
4.5.2 Induced Growth Effects .....	4-50
4.5.3 Effects Related to Induced Growth .....	4-51
4.5.4 Summary of Potentially Substantial Effects .....	4-52
4.6 STEP 6: ANALYSIS OF INDIRECT EFFECTS AND EVALUATE RESULTS.....	4-54
4.6.1 Encroachment-Alteration Effects .....	4-54
4.6.2 Induced Growth Effects .....	4-64
4.6.3 Effects Related to Induced Growth .....	4-70
4.6.4 Evaluation of Analysis Results.....	4-91
4.7 STEP 7: ASSESS CONSEQUENCES AND CONSIDER/DEVELOP MITIGATION (WHEN APPROPRIATE) .....	4-94
<b>Chapter 5 Cumulative Effects.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 STEP 1: IDENTIFY THE RESOURCES TO CONSIDER IN THE ANALYSIS .....	5-3
5.2 STEP 2: DEFINE THE STUDY AREA FOR EACH RESOURCE .....	5-7
5.2.1 Land Resources Study Area .....	5-7
5.2.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources Study Area .....	5-9
5.2.3 Water Resources Study Areas .....	5-11
5.2.4 Ecological Resources Study Areas .....	5-13
5.3 STEP 3: DESCRIBE THE CURRENT STATUS/VIABILITY AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT FOR EACH RESOURCE .....	5-26
5.3.1 Land Resources .....	5-26



5.3.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources .....	5-26
5.3.3 Water Resources – Surface Water .....	5-32
5.3.4 Water Resources – Groundwater.....	5-40
5.3.5 Ecological Resources – Vegetation and Wildlife .....	5-48
5.3.6 Ecological Resources –Threatened and Endangered Species.....	5-52
<b>5.4 STEP 4: IDENTIFY DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT THAT MIGHT CONTRIBUTE TO A CUMULATIVE IMPACT.....</b>	<b>5-60</b>
<b>5.5 STEP 5: IDENTIFY OTHER REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE EFFECTS .....</b>	<b>5-65</b>
<i>5.5.1 2035 Development Predicted by Collaborative Judgment Land Use Panel.....</i>	<i>5-65</i>
<i>5.5.2 2035 Development Estimate Based on Population Projections and Residential Absorption Analysis .....</i>	<i>5-66</i>
<i>5.5.3 Identified Individual Projects.....</i>	<i>5-71</i>
<i>5.5.4 Summary of Current and Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development .....</i>	<i>5-75</i>
<b>5.6 STEP 6: IDENTIFY AND ASSESS CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>5-75</b>
<i>5.6.1 Cumulative Effects on Land Resources and Land Uses.....</i>	<i>5-75</i>
<i>5.6.2 Effects on Socioeconomic and Community Resources .....</i>	<i>5-77</i>
<i>5.6.3 Cumulative Effects on Water Resources .....</i>	<i>5-86</i>
<i>5.6.4 Cumulative Effects on Ecological Resources .....</i>	<i>5-93</i>
<b>5.7 STEP 7: REPORT THE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>5-102</b>
<i>5.7.1 Summary of Step 1: Identify Resources to Consider in the Analysis.....</i>	<i>5-102</i>
<i>5.7.2 Summary of Step 2: Define the Study Area for Each Resource .....</i>	<i>5-102</i>
<i>5.7.3 Summary of Step 3: Describe the Current Status/Viability and Historical Context for Each Resource.....</i>	<i>5-103</i>
<i>5.7.4 Summary of Step 4: Identify Direct and Indirect Impacts of the Project that Might Contribute to a Cumulative Impact .....</i>	<i>5-103</i>
<i>5.7.5 Summary of Step 5: Identify Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects.....</i>	<i>5-103</i>
<i>5.7.6 Summary of Step 6: Identify and Assess Cumulative Impacts .....</i>	<i>5-103</i>
<b>5.8 STEP 8: ASSESS THE NEED FOR MITIGATION .....</b>	<b>5-108</b>
<i>5.8.1 Regulatory Resource Protective Measures .....</i>	<i>5-108</i>
<i>5.8.2 Other Resource Conservation and Mitigation Programs .....</i>	<i>5-114</i>
<i>5.8.3 Aquifer Species.....</i>	<i>5-127</i>
<i>5.8.4 Anticipated Effects of Mitigation Measures and Resource Protection Priorities .....</i>	<i>5-129</i>
<i>5.8.5 Integrating Climate Change Considerations into Transportation Planning .....</i>	<i>5-132</i>
<b>Chapter 6 Public and Agency Coordination .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	6-1
6.2 NOTICE OF INTENT.....	6-1
6.3 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	6-1
<i>6.3.1 Mailing List.....</i>	<i>6-1</i>
<i>6.3.2 Public Meetings.....</i>	<i>6-2</i>
<i>6.3.3 Community Advisory Committee .....</i>	<i>6-6</i>
<i>6.3.4 Community Briefings.....</i>	<i>6-7</i>
<i>6.3.5 Elected and Appointed Official Briefings.....</i>	<i>6-8</i>
<i>6.3.6 Newsletters .....</i>	<i>6-9</i>
<i>6.3.7 Project Website .....</i>	<i>6-10</i>
<i>6.3.8 Social Media.....</i>	<i>6-11</i>
<i>6.3.9 Special Outreach .....</i>	<i>6-12</i>
6.4 AGENCY INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	6-13
<i>6.4.1 Agencies Roles and Responsibilities .....</i>	<i>6-13</i>
<i>6.4.2 Agency Scoping Meetings .....</i>	<i>6-15</i>
<i>6.4.3 Peer Technical Review Committee .....</i>	<i>6-15</i>
<i>6.4.4 Native American Consultation .....</i>	<i>6-16</i>
<i>6.4.5 Scoping Concurrence.....</i>	<i>6-16</i>
<b>Works Cited .....</b>	<b>WC-1</b>
<b>Glossary.....</b>	<b>Glossary-1</b>



## List of Tables

Table ES-1: Cost Estimates and Key Performance Measures.....	14
Table 1-1: Historic and Forecasted Average Daily Traffic .....	1-11
Table 1-2: History of US 281 Improvements.....	1-12
Table 1-3: US 281 Project Corridor Crashes, 2003 — 2011 .....	1-13
Table 1-4: Inclusion of US 281 in SA-BC MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans .....	1-19
Table 2-1: 2010 Average Daily Traffic for US 281 Project Corridor.....	2-4
Table 2-2: US 281 Project Corridor Traffic Levels of Service.....	2-4
Table 2-3: Refinements to Expressway Alternative .....	2-21
Table 2-4: Refinements to Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	2-21
Table 2-5: Ability of the Alternatives to Meet the Project's Need, Purpose and Objectives.....	2-37
Table 2-6: Cost Estimates.....	2-40
Table 3-1: Land Use Category - US 281 Land Use Study Area.....	3-6
Table 3-2: Land Acquisition (in Acres) per Proposed Build Alternative .....	3-12
Table 3-3: Mapped Soil Units in the Farmland Study Area .....	3-14
Table 3-4: Historical Population Growth in the Region .....	3-17
Table 3-5: Forecasted Growth in the Demographic Study Area .....	3-20
Table 3-6: Race and Ethnicity – 2010 Census .....	3-21
Table 3-7: Household Income – American Community Survey 2006-2010 5-year Survey .....	3-22
Table 3-8: Race and Ethnicity – 2010 Census .....	3-29
Table 3-9: Household Income in the Study Area for Income and Poverty .....	3-37
Table 3-10: Ratio of Income to Poverty Threshold in the Study Area for Income and Poverty – American Community Survey 2006-2010 5-year Survey .....	3-39
Table 3-11: Toll Cost Analysis .....	3-43
Table 3-12: Languages Spoken within the Demographic Study Area .....	3-45
Table 3-13: Population within the Demographic Study Area that Speak English Less than Very Well.....	3-46
Table 3-14: Linguistically Isolated Households in the Demographic Study Area .....	3-50
Table 3-15: Housing Occupancy in Demographic Study Area .....	3-53
Table 3-16: Employment by Industry.....	3-60
Table 3-17: Commute Characteristics for Bexar and Comal Counties .....	3-61
Table 3-18: Civilian Labor Force, Total Employment, and Unemployment Rate .....	3-61
Table 3-19: Property Tax Revenues and Rates for Major Taxing Jurisdictions .....	3-62
Table 3-20: Displacements and Relocations per Proposed Build Alternative .....	3-64
Table 3-21: Description of Potentially Displaced Commercial Properties .....	3-64
Table 3-22: ROW Impacts .....	3-76
Table 3-23: Commercial, Industrial, and Agriculture MLS Availability by City Zip Codes .....	3-76
Table 3-24: MLS Housing Availability by City Zip Codes within the US 281 Project Corridor .....	3-77
Table 3-25: ROW Acquisition for Place of Worship Properties.....	3-80
Table 3-26: Summary of Funding Sources .....	3-82
Table 3-27: Total Project Cost Breakdown .....	3-84
Table 3-28: Estimated Economic Effects per US 281 Proposed Build Alternative .....	3-85
Table 3-29: Estimated Value of ROW to be Acquired .....	3-86
Table 3-30: Potential Annual Loss in Tax Revenue per Proposed Build Alternative .....	3-87
Table 3-31: NAAQS Attainment Designations.....	3-98
Table 3-32: National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-99
Table 3-33: Estimated Daily Traffic Volume on US 281 at Stone Oak Parkway and Bulverde Road .....	3-101
Table 3-34: CO Emission Concentrations .....	3-103
Table 3-35: Projected National MSAT Emissions and Percent Reduction for 1999-2050 for Vehicles Operating on Roadways Using EPA's MOBILE6.2 Model .....	3-105
Table 3-36: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria .....	3-111
Table 3-37: Ambient Noise Conditions within the US 281 Project Corridor .....	3-117
Table 3-38: Preliminary Noise Impacts for the US 281 Project Corridor by Alternative .....	3-118
Table 3-39: Summary of the Total Preliminary Noise Impacts for the US 281 Corridor Project.....	3-125



Table 3-40: Noise Impact Contours by Build Alternatives .....	3-128
Table 3-41: Direct Impacts to Jurisdictional Surface Waters .....	3-133
Table 3-42: Impacts to Surface Water Quality per Proposed Build Alternative .....	3-133
Table 3-43: Impacts to Floodplains by Alternative .....	3-157
Table 3-44: Impacts to NWI Wetlands by Alternative .....	3-161
Table 3-45: Vegetation in Acres per Alternative.....	3-167
Table 3-46: Acreage of Wooded Areas in ROW .....	3-173
Table 3-47: Federal and State Listed Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Bexar County.....	3-178
Table 3-48: Bexar County Karst Zone Acreage and the Number of Karst Features Located within the ROW by Alternative ...	3-193
Table 3-49: Impacts to Golden-cheeked Warbler Habitat by Alternative .....	3-195
Table 3-50: Summary Table of Threatened and Endangered Species and Potential for Effect/Impact by the US 281 Corridor Project.....	3-197
Table 3-51: Previously Recorded Archeological Sites .....	3-211
Table 3-52: Previously Surveyed Areas within the APE .....	3-214
Table 3-53: Federal, State, Local and Tribal Regulatory Listings and Search Radius .....	3-218
Table 3-54: Confirmed Hazardous and Regulated Materials Sites .....	3-220
Table 3-55: Properties Impacted by Alternatives and Hazardous and Regulated Material Sites .....	3-225
Table 3-56: Residential Properties within the Foreground Viewshed .....	3-235
Table 3-57: Summary of Direct Impacts by Alternative .....	3-240
Table 3-58: Summary of Potential Mitigation and Monitoring Options .....	3-245
Table 4-1: Seven-Step Approach to Estimate Indirect Impacts .....	4-2
Table 4-2 Population Trends for Selected Cities and Counties 1970-2010.....	4-22
Table 4-3: Population Projections for 4-County Area and the US 281 AOI Residential Housing Sectors 2000-2035 .....	4-23
Table 4-4: Aquifer Recharge by Watershed Groups .....	4-37
Table 4-5: State - and Federally-Listed Species Occurrences in AOI.....	4-39
Table 4-6: Historic Properties or Districts within or Adjacent to the AOI.....	4-44
Table 4-7: Summary of Encroachment-Alteration Effects Analysis .....	4-47
Table 4-8: Summary of Analysis of Effects Related to Induced Growth .....	4-51
Table 4-9: Karst Zone Acreage within the AOI .....	4-57
Table 4-10: Number of Residential Properties Indirectly Affected.....	4-64
Table 4-11: US 281 Land Use Panel Members .....	4-65
Table 4-12: Factors Influencing Land Development in the US 281 AOI .....	4-66
Table 4-13: Influence of Alternatives on Induced Land Development (Shown as Average Percent Change in Extent of Mapped Area) .....	4-69
Table 4-14: Effect of Various Toll Options on Induced Land Development .....	4-69
Table 4-15: Summary: Estimated Induced Development by Proposed Build Alternative .....	4-70
Table 4-16: Potential GCWA Habitat within the AOI and within Projected Induced Development Areas under the Proposed US 281 Build Alternatives.....	4-78
Table 4-17: Review of Key Findings of Urban Stream Studies Examining the Relationship of Urbanization to Stream Quality (from Schueler 2000a) .....	4-83
Table 4-18: Areas Subject to US 281-Induced Development in Drainage Areas of the AOI by Build Alternative (acres) .....	4-87
Table 4-19: Trends in Trophic State* of Canyon Lake, based on TCEQ Water Quality Assessment Data.....	4-88
Table 4-20: Areas of Potential Induced Development Impacts by Vegetation Cover Types in the AOI .....	4-91
Table 4-21: Summary of Indirect Effects Analysis.....	4-92
Table 5-1: Determination of Resources and Issues Included in the Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	5-4
Table 5-2: Resource Study Area for Each Resource Considered in the Analysis.....	5-7
Table 5-3: County of Occurrence and Resource Study Areas for Federal and State-listed Species.....	5-21
Table 5-4: Distribution of Federally-listed Bexar County Karst Invertebrates in KFRs and Number of Localities for Each (Veni 2003) .....	5-56
Table 5-5: Summary of Direct and Indirect Effects .....	5-61
Table 5-6: 2035 Development within the Land RSA Resulting from Other Past, Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions .....	5-66
Table 5-7: Current and Future Transportation Projects .....	5-71
Table 5-8: Current and Future Private and Public Land Development Projects .....	5-72



Table 5-9: Current and Future Actions – Infrastructure Projects .....	5-73
Table 5-10: Total Projected 2035 Land Development in Land RSA (acres) .....	5-76
Table 5-11: Environmental Justice Analysis Results .....	5-84
Table 5-12: Environmental Justice Cost Analysis .....	5-85
Table 5-13: Cumulative Land Development within Surface Water Drainage Areas of the Land RSA (Acres) .....	5-87
Table 5-14: Different Categories of Current and Projected Land Development within the Drainage Areas of the AOI (acres) ..	5-89
Table 5-15: Cumulative Land Development (acres) within the AOI that has Potential to Impact Water Quality of the Edwards Aquifer .....	5-91
Table 5-16: Cumulative Effects by Vegetation Types (acres) .....	5-94
Table 5-17: Acreage of Vegetation Types in AOI in Relation to Extent of Vegetation Types within the Vegetation and Wildlife RSA .....	5-95
Table 5-18: Potential GCWA Habitat in US 281 Land RSA and GCWA RSA (USFWS Recovery Region 6) .....	5-99
Table 5-19: Potential Cumulative Effects to Diamond (2007) Habitat & TPWD TES Vegetation Classes Potentially Suitable for GCWA Habitat (acres) .....	5-100
Table 5-20: National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	5-109
Table 5-21: Resource Conservation/Protection and Mitigation Programs Related to Potential Cumulative Impacts of Proposed US 281 Corridor Project from Loop 1604 to Borgfeld Drive .....	5-115
Table 5-22: Mitigation Measures Affecting Threatened and Endangered Karst Invertebrate Species .....	5-127
Table 6-1: List of Agencies .....	6-14
Table 6-2: List of Decline Letters Received from Agencies .....	6-15



## List of Figures

Figure ES-1: Project location .....	2
Figure ES-2: US 281 / Loop 1604 interchange improvements .....	3
Figure ES-3: Loop 1604 EIS limits .....	4
Figure ES-4: Typical sections, US 281 project corridor .....	7
Figure ES-5: Aerial of US 281 Super Street at Evans Road .....	8
Figure ES-6: Expressway Alternative - typical section.....	10
Figure ES-7: Elevated Expressway Alternative - typical section (0.1 miles south of Evans Road) .....	11
Figure ES-8: Elevated Expressway Alternative - typical section (0.1 miles north Marshall Road) .....	11
Figure ES-9: Area of influence .....	20
Figure ES-10: Surface water RSA.....	27
Figure ES-11: Groundwater RSA .....	27
Figure ES-12: Golden-cheeked warbler RSA .....	28
Figure ES-13: Karst invertebrate RSA.....	28
Figure 1-1: US 281 project corridor .....	1-3
Figure 1-2: History of US 281 NEPA documentation.....	1-5
Figure 1-3: US 281/Loop 1604 interchange improvements.....	1-6
Figure 1-4: Aerial view of corridor development from 1973 through 2009 .....	1-7
Figure 1-5: Demographic study area.....	1-8
Figure 1-6: Historical and forecasted population, household and employment levels within the US 281 demographic study area .....	1-9
Figure 1-7: Population by county within the US 281 demographic study area .....	1-10
Figure 1-8: Roadway functional class.....	1-11
Figure 1-9: Crash rates on US 281 compared to Texas statewide crash rates .....	1-14
Figure 1-10: 2010 Carbon monoxide emissions by speed on San Antonio arterial roadways.....	1-16
Figure 1-11: EIS flow chart .....	1-21
Figure 2-1: Typical sections, US 281 project corridor .....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Aerial of US 281 Super Street at Evans Road.....	2-3
Figure 2-3: Levels of service.....	2-4
Figure 2-4: Overview of alternatives evaluation process .....	2-9
Figure 2-5: Level 1 evaluation process and results .....	2-10
Figure 2-6: Level 2 evaluation process and results .....	2-12
Figure 2-7: Lane configuration of alternatives analyzed in Level 3 evaluation.....	2-13
Figure 2-8: 15-minute traffic volumes 0.25 miles south of Encino Rio .....	2-16
Figure 2-9: Level 3 evaluation process and results .....	2-17
Figure 2-10a: Expressway Alternative.....	2-25
Figure 2-10b: Expressway Alternative .....	2-26
Figure 2-11: Expressway Alternative typical section .....	2-28
Figure 2-12a: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-30
Figure 2-12b: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-31
Figure 2-12c: Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	2-32
Figure 2-13: Elevated Expressway Alternative typical section.....	2-33
Figure 3-1: City of San Antonio planning areas.....	3-4
Figure 3-2a: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-7
Figure 3-2b: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-8
Figure 3-2c: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-9
Figure 3-2d: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-10
Figure 3-2e: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-11
Figure 3-3: US 281 - Demographic study area .....	3-18
Figure 3-4: Regional population forecasts comparison .....	3-19
Figure 3-5a: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks.....	3-24
Figure 3-5b: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks.....	3-25
Figure 3-5c: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks .....	3-26



Figure 3-6: Study area for income and poverty – 2010 census block groups .....	3-27
Figure 3-7a: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity.....	3-32
Figure 3-7b: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-33
Figure 3-7c: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-34
Figure 3-7d: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-35
Figure 3-7e: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity.....	3-36
Figure 3-8: Neighborhoods and schools located within ½ mile of US 281 .....	3-57
Figure 3-9: Fire protection, and medical services.....	3-58
Figure 3-10: Places of worship and cemeteries .....	3-59
Figure 3-11a: Relocations and displacements – Expressway Alternative .....	3-66
Figure 3-11b: Relocations and displacements – Expressway Alternative.....	3-67
Figure 3-11c: Relocations and displacements – Expressway Alternative .....	3-68
Figure 3-11d: Relocations and displacements – Expressway Alternative.....	3-69
Figure 3-11e: Relocations and displacements – Expressway Alternative .....	3-70
Figure 3-11f: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-71
Figure 3-11g: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-72
Figure 3-11h: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-73
Figure 3-11i: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-74
Figure 3-11j: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-75
Figure 3-12: Recommended bicycle corridor map.....	3-89
Figure 3-13: 2035 average peak period speed – northern segment (between Marshall Road and Borgfeld Drive) .....	3-93
Figure 3-14: 2035 average peak period speed –southern segment (between Sonterra Boulevard and Marshall Road) .....	3-93
Figure 3-15: 2035 average daily traffic – northern segment (near the intersection of US 281 and Bulverde Road) .....	3-94
Figure 3-16: 2035 average daily traffic – southern segment (near the intersection of US 281 and Sonterra Boulevard) .....	3-95
Figure 3-17: Peak period LOS – US 281 main lanes .....	3-96
Figure 3-18: Peak period LOS– parallel corridors combined – Blanco Road & Bulverde Road.....	3-96
Figure 3-19: U.S. VMT vs. MSAT Emissions, 1999-2050.....	3-104
Figure 3-20: Common sound/noise levels .....	3-110
Figure 3-21a: US 281 noise receiver locations .....	3-112
Figure 3-21b: US 281 noise receiver locations.....	3-113
Figure 3-21c: US 281 noise receiver locations .....	3-114
Figure 3-21d: US 281 noise receiver locations.....	3-115
Figure 3-21e: US 281 noise receiver locations .....	3-116
Figure 3-22: Surface waters that cross the US 281 project corridor.....	3-131
Figure 3-23: Location of the project area in relation to local aquifers .....	3-136
Figure 3-24: Map of the four Edwards Aquifer Zones in the region surrounding the project area.....	3-138
Figure 3-25: Geologic map of region surrounding the US 281 project .....	3-139
Figure 3-26: Water supply wells .....	3-145
Figure 3-27: Karst features in the area surrounding the US 281 project corridor .....	3-148
Figure 3-28: The US 281 study area with locations of known caves and karst features overlain on groundwater vulnerability map from Clark (2000).....	3-150
Figure 3-29: Modeled and dye traced groundwater flowpaths in the area near US 281 up to Comal Springs.....	3-153
Figure 3-30: US 281 biological study area.....	3-177
Figure 3-31a: Foreground viewshed .....	3-230
Figure 3-31b: Foreground viewshed .....	3-231
Figure 3-31c: Foreground viewshed .....	3-232
Figure 3-32: Conceptual Illustration of the Expressway Alternative at Evans Road .....	3-233
Figure 3-33: Conceptual Illustration of the Elevated Expressway Alternative at Evans Road .....	3-234
Figure 4-1: Area of influence .....	4-5
Figure 4-2: Preliminary AOI based on select link analysis.....	4-7
Figure 4-3: Historical land development trends in the AOI: 1983, 1996, 2008 .....	4-25
Figure 4-4: Landscape features, communities, designated historic sites and independent school districts .....	4-31
Figure 4-5a: Viewsheds – South of Marshall Road .....	4-61
Figure 4-5b: Viewsheds – North of Marshall Road .....	4-62



Figure 4-6: Current and potential (2035) land development within the AOI with input from the land use panel, workshop #2 .....	4-68
Figure 4-7: Potential GCWA habitat in relation to areas subject to induced development .....	4-77
Figure 4-8: Drainage areas for major streams within the AOI .....	4-81
Figure 4-9: Waterbody classification scheme based on the relationship between watershed imperviousness and degradation of receiving waters (adapted from Schueler 2000a and Arnold and Gibbons 1996) .....	4-85
Figure 4-10: Relationships between population density and watershed impervious cover for population density up to 2,000 persons/square mile (representative of low to moderate density single-family development).....	4-86
Figure 5-1: Land RSA .....	5-8
Figure 5-2: Socioeconomic and community RSA .....	5-10
Figure 5-3: Surface water RSA .....	5-12
Figure 5-4: Groundwater RSA .....	5-13
Figure 5-5: Vegetation and wildlife RSA .....	5-14
Figure 5-6: Karst invertebrate RSA.....	5-17
Figure 5-7: Golden-cheeked warbler RSA.....	5-19
Figure 5-8: Black-capped vireo RSA .....	5-20
Figure 5-9: Census tracts within or adjacent to the socioeconomic and community RSA .....	5-27
.....	5-31
Figure 5-10: Impaired surface waters according to TCEQ 2010 303(d) list within the surface water resources study area.....	5-38
Figure 5-11: Groundwater pumping compared with springflow from the Edwards Aquifer, 1934-2008 (measured in thousands of acre-feet) .....	5-44
Figure 5-12: Current and projected (2035) land development within the area of influence, showing residential housing sectors .....	5-67
Figure 5-13: Percent built-out and population density in 2009.....	5-69
Figure 5-14: Percent built-out and population density in 2035.....	5-70
Figure 5-15: Proposed toll facilities and EJ zones .....	5-79
Figure 5-16: Alternate routes .....	5-81
Figure 5-17: Environmental justice zones that are projected to use US 281.....	5-83
Figure 6-1: Spatial distribution of the US 281 mailing list and meeting attendees/comments received .....	6-2



## List of Appendices

---

- Appendix A – List of Recipients
- Appendix B – List of Preparers
- Appendix C – Transportation Planning Documents
- Appendix D – Application of the San Antonio – Bexar County MPO Travel Demand Model
- Appendix E – Environmental Justice Analysis – Technical Report
- Appendix F – SA-BC MPO Regional Toll Analysis
- Appendix G – Socioeconomic Data
- Appendix H – Hazardous Materials Search Results
- Appendix I1 – Threatened and Endangered Species Lists
- Appendix I2 – Karst Invertebrate Survey Technical Report
- Appendix I3 – Avian Survey Technical Reports
- Appendix J1 – Geologic Assessment
- Appendix J2 – Hydrostratigraphy of the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers
- Appendix K1 – Conceptual Schematics
- Appendix K2 – Design Memorandum
- Appendix K3 – TCEQ Edwards Aquifer Regulatory Evaluation
- Appendix L1 – Agency Correspondence
- Appendix L2 – Scoping Concurrence
- Appendix M – Population and Housing Projections for the US 281 North Area of Influence
- Appendix N – Public Meeting Summary Reports

